NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Insurance Office of the Commissioner

Repeal of Regulation 80—Commercial Lines Insurance Rate Deregulation (LAC 37:XIII.Chapter 93)

In accordance with R.S. 49:950 et seq., the Administrative Procedure Act, and through the authority granted under R.S. 22:1 et seq., and specifically R.S. 22:11, the Department of Insurance hereby gives notice of its intent to repeal Regulation 80—Commercial Lines Insurance Rate Deregulation. The Department of Insurance is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Acts 2007, No. 459, § 3 of the Regular Session of the Louisiana Legislature that repealed R.S. 22:1401.1D, under which authority Regulation 80 was originally promulgated.

The purpose of Regulation 80 was to implement the provisions of Acts 2004, No. 878 of the Louisiana Legislature, Regular Session, which exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive.

Title 37 INSURANCE Part XIII. Regulations

Chapter 93. Regulation Number 80—Commercial Lines Insurance Rate Deregulation

§9301. Authority

Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR (
§9303. Purpose
Repealed.
AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR (
§9305. Scope and Applicability
Repealed.
AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR (
§9307. Severability
Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D.

§9309. Definitions Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR (§9311. Types of Insurance Exempt from Rate Filing and Approval Process Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the §9313. Exempt Rates Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR ____ (_____ 2019). §9315. Noncompetitive Market; Public Notice and Hearing Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the Commissioner, LR 30:2834 (December 2004), repealed LR ____ (___ §9317. Disciplinary Hearings; Fines Repealed. AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 22:3 and R.S. 22:1401.1D. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Insurance, Office of the

§9319. Effective Date

Repealed.

FAMILY IMPACT STATEMENT

Attachment to Regulation 80

COMMERCIAL LINES INSURANCE RATE DEREGULATION

I. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on the stability of the family.

The proposed amended regulation should have no measurable impact upon the stability of the family.

II. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on the authority and rights of parents regarding the education and supervision of their children

The proposed amended regulation should have no impact upon the rights and authority of children regarding the education and supervision of their children.

III. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on the functioning of the family.

The proposed amended regulation should have no direct impact upon the functioning of the family.

IV. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on family earnings and budget.

The proposed amended regulation should have no direct impact upon family earnings and budget.

V. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on the behavior and personal responsibility of children.

The proposed amended regulation should have no impact upon the behavior and personal responsibility of children.

VI. Describe the effect of the proposed regulation on the ability of the family or a local government to perform the function as contained in the rule.

The proposed amended regulation should have no impact upon the ability of the family or a local governmental unit to perform the function as contained in the rule.

POVERTY IMPACT STATEMENT

Attachment to Repeal of Regulation 80

COMMERCIAL LINES INSURANCE RATE DEREGULATION

I. Describe the effect on household income, assets, and financial security.

The proposed amended regulation should have no effect on household income assets and financial security.

II. Describe the effect on early childhood development and preschool through postsecondary education development.

The proposed amended regulation should have no effect on early childhood development and preschool through postsecondary education development.

III. Describe the effect on employment and workforce development.

The proposed amended regulation should have no effect on employment and workforce development.

IV. Describe the effect on taxes and tax credits.

The proposed amended regulation should have no effect on taxes and tax credits.

V. Describe the effect on child and dependent care, housing, health care, nutrition, transportation and utilities assistance.

The proposed amended regulation should have no effect on child and dependent care, housing, health care, nutrition, transportation and utilities assistance.

PROVIDER IMPACT STATEMENT

Attachment to Repeal of Regulation 80

COMMERCIAL LINES INSURANCE RATE DEREGULATION

I. Describe the effect on the staffing level requirements or qualifications required to provide the same level of service.

The proposed amended regulation will have no effect.

II. The total direct and indirect effect on the cost to the provider to provide the same level of service.

The proposed amended regulation will have no effect.

III. The overall effect on the ability of the provider to provide the same level of service.

The proposed amended regulation will have no effect.

SMALL BUSINESS STATEMENT

Attachment to Repeal of Regulation 80

COMMERCIAL LINES INSURANCE RATE DEREGULATION

The impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act has been considered. It is estimated that the proposed action is not expected to have a significant adverse impact on small businesses. The agency, consistent with health, safety, environmental and economic welfare factors has considered and, where possible, utilized regulatory methods in the drafting of the proposed regulation that will accomplish the objectives of applicable statutes while minimizing the adverse impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses.

I. Identification and estimate of the number of the small businesses subject to the proposed rule.

The proposed amended regulation should have no measurable impact upon small businesses.

II. The projected reporting, record keeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed rule, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record.

The proposed amended regulation should have no measurable impact upon small businesses.

III. A statement of the probable effect on impacted small businesses.

The proposed amended regulation should have no measurable impact upon small businesses.

IV. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed rule.

The proposed amended regulation should have no measurable impact on small businesses; therefore, will have no less intrusive or less cost alternative methods.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Insurance

Person Preparing Department: Louisiana Department of

Statement: Anita R. Robert

Baton Rouge, LA Insurance Rate 70804-9214 Deregulation

Effective Date: Upon Publication

SUMMARY

(Use Complete Sentences)

In accordance with Section 953 of Title 49 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, there is hereby submitted a fiscal and economic impact statement on the rule proposed for adoption, repeal or amendment. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS SUMMARIZE ATTACHED WORKSHEETS, I THROUGH IV AND <u>WILL BE PUBLISHED IN THE LOUISIANA REGISTER WITH THE PROPOSED AGENCY RULE.</u>

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS. (Summary)

The proposed rule changes will not result in additional costs or savings for state or local governmental units. The rule revisions repeal Regulation 80, which was implemented under the provisions of Act 878 of 2004. This regulation exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive. LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Act 459 of 2007, which repealed the provisions of Act 878 of 2004 and no longer aligns with present statute and practice.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS. (Summary)

The proposed rule changes will not affect revenue collections of state or local governmental units.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS. (Summary)

The proposed rule change will not result in any costs and/or economic benefits to directly affected persons or non-governmental groups. The rule revisions repeal Regulation 80, which was implemented under the provisions of Act 878 of 2004. This regulation exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive. LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Act 459 of 2007, which repealed the provisions of Act 878 of 2004 and no longer aligns with present statute and practice.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT. (Summary)

The proposed rule changes will not affect competition or employment.

Vicholas J. Lorusso, Chief Deputy Commissioner a. Department of Insurance	Legislative Fiscal Officer or Designee
Date of Signature	Date of Signature

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is required in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriated legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberations on the proposed rule.

A. Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the Notice of Intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

The repeal of Regulation 80 will not result in additional costs or savings for state or local governmental units. Regulation 80 was implemented by the provisions of Acts 2004, No. 878 of the Louisiana Legislative Session. This regulation exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive. The LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Acts 2007, No. 459, § 3 of the Louisiana Legislative Session.

B. Summarize the circumstances which require this action. If the action is required by federal regulations, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

The Department of Insurance is tasked with administering the Insurance Code, which regulates the business of insurance in all of its phases. LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Acts 2007, No. 459, § 3 of the Louisiana Legislative Session.

Compliance with Act 11 of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session:

- (1) Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in expenditure of funds? If so, specify the amount and the source.
 - No increase in expenditure of funds is anticipated as a result of the repeal of regulation 80.
- (2) If the answer to (1) above is yes, has the Legislature specifically appropriated the funds necessary for the associated expenditure increase?

	Yes.	. If yes, provide documentation.
	No.	If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be published at this
ime.	-	

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

I. A. COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM ACTION PROPOSED.

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

LDI does not anticipate any implementation costs (savings) as a result of the repeal of regulation 80.

COSTS	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22
OPERATING EXPENSES	0	0	0
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	0	0	0
OTHER CHARGES	0	0	0
EQUIPMENT	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0
MAJOR REPAIR/CONSTR	0	0	0
POSITIONS (Number)	0	0	0

2. Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A.1" above, including the increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these costs.

The proposed rule changes will not result in additional costs or savings for state or local governmental units. The rule revisions repeal Regulation 80, which was implemented under the provisions of Act 878 of 2004. This regulation exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive. LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Act 459 of 2007, which repealed the provisions of Act 878 of 2004 and no longer aligns with present statute and practice.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

SOURCE	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22
STATE GENERAL FUND	0	0	0
AGENCY SELF-	0	0	0
GENERATED			
DEDICATED	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	0	0
OTHER (SPECIFY)	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

No additional funding is necessary to implement the repeal of regulation 80.

B. COSTS OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

The repeal of regulation 80 will have no impact on local governmental revenues.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of local governmental unit(s) which will be affected by these cost or savings.

No source of funding is necessary; there is no impact on local governmental units as a result of the repeal of regulation 80.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

(Continued)

II. EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS

A. What increase (decrease) in revenues can be anticipated from the proposed action?

The proposed rule changes will not affect revenue collections of state or local governmental units.

SOURCE	FY 19/20	FY 20/21	FY 21/22
STATE GENERAL FUND	0	0	0
AGENCY SELF-	0	0	0
GENERATED			
DEDICATED	0	0	0
FEDERAL FUNDS	0	0	0
OTHER (SPECIFY)	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	0

B. Provide a narrative explanation of each increase or decrease in revenues shown in "A". Describe all data, assumptions and other methods used in calculating these increases or decreases.

III. COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GROUPS.

A. What persons or non-governmental groups would be directly affected by the proposed action? For each, provide an estimate and a narrative description of any effect on costs, including workload adjustments and additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) they may have to incur as a result of the proposed action.

The proposed rule change will not result in any costs and/or economic benefits to directly affected persons or non-governmental groups. The rule revisions repeal Regulation 80, which was implemented under the provisions of Act 878 of 2004. This regulation exempted commercial property and casualty insurers from the rate approval process unless the commissioner determines that the market for a line of insurance is noncompetitive. LDI is repealing Regulation 80 to comply with Act 459 of 2007, which repealed the provisions of Act 878 of 2004 and no longer aligns with present statute and practice.

B. Also provide an estimate and a narrative description of any impact on receipts and/or income resulting from this rule or rule change to these groups.

IV. EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT.

Identify and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Include a summary of any data, assumptions and methods used in making these estimates.

The proposed rule changes will not affect competition or employment.

Public Comments

Interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed repeal of Regulation 80 until the close of business, 4:30 p.m., September 20, 2019, to Lisa Henson, Division of Legal Services, Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 94214, Baton Rouge, LA 70804 or by facsimile transmission to (225) 342-1632.

James J. Donelon Commissioner of Insurance